

Message

---

**From:** Vandenberg, John [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=DCAE2B98A04540FB8D099F9D4DEAD690-VANDENBERG, JOHN]  
**Sent:** 10/23/2015 12:29:02 PM  
**To:** Davis, Allen [Davis.Allen@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

Thanks – very clear

---

**From:** Davis, Allen  
**Sent:** Friday, October 23, 2015 8:07 AM  
**To:** D'Amico, Louis <DAmico.Louis@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Vandenberg, John <Vandenberg.John@epa.gov>; Walsh, Debra <Walsh.Debra@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** FW: Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

Lou,

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

Thanks,

Allen

J. Allen Davis, MSPH  
Biologist  
National Center for Environmental Assessment  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
109 T.W. Alexander Dr, MC B243-01  
RTP, NC 27711  
phone: 919-541-3789  
fax: 919-541-0245  
email: [davis.allen@epa.gov](mailto:davis.allen@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Pagan, Ines  
**Sent:** Friday, October 16, 2015 9:03 AM  
**To:** Birchfield, Norman <[Birchfield.Norman@epa.gov](mailto:Birchfield.Norman@epa.gov)>

**Cc:** Woodall, George <[Woodall.George@epa.gov](mailto:Woodall.George@epa.gov)>; Davis, Allen <[Davis.Allen@epa.gov](mailto:Davis.Allen@epa.gov)>; Sams, Reeder <[Sams.Reeder@epa.gov](mailto:Sams.Reeder@epa.gov)>; Flowers, Lynn <[Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov](mailto:Flowers.Lynn@epa.gov)>

**Subject:** RE: Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

I will sent something up and include all in this email.

---

**From:** Birchfield, Norman

**Sent:** Friday, October 16, 2015 8:54 AM

**To:** Pagan, Ines

**Cc:** Woodall, George; Davis, Allen; Sams, Reeder; Flowers, Lynn

**Subject:** Re: Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

Hi Ines - That sounds good to me. Do you want to schedule something? I've added Lynn and Reeder Sams to the email list as well although I'm not sure if they feel that they should participate.

---

**From:** Pagan, Ines

**Sent:** Friday, October 16, 2015 8:20 AM

**To:** Birchfield, Norman

**Cc:** Woodall, George; Davis, Allen

**Subject:** FW: Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

Please read the message below, I think we should meet as soon as possible

**Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)**

Ines Pagan  
DVM, Ph.D.  
Toxicologist  
Air Toxics Assessments Group  
Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards  
Health and Environmental Impacts Division

Phone: (919) 541-5469

Fax: (919) 541-0840

109 TW Alexander Dr.

Mailcode C539-02

Durham, NC 27711

---

**From:** Rimer, Kelly

**Sent:** Friday, October 16, 2015 6:10 AM

**To:** Pagan, Ines

**Subject:** Fwd: Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

Ines,

Here is an email from Patrick Walsh. Let's bring in the IRIS folks on this and make it a priority to follow up with Patrick.

Thanks  
Kelly Rimer  
Leader, Air Toxics Assessment Group  
US EPA

Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards  
109 TW Alexander Drive  
RTP, NC 27709

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** <PATRICK.A.WALSH@dupont.com>  
**Date:** October 15, 2015 at 6:27:32 PM EDT  
**To:** <Kelly.Petersen@LA.GOV>, <Doris.B.Grego@dupont.com>, <James.B.Allen@dupont.com>, <Carlos.F.Saldana@dupont.com>, <Palma.Ted@epa.gov>, <Morris.Mark@epa.gov>, <Casso.Ruben@epa.gov>, <Rimer.Kelly@epa.gov>, <Strum.Madeleine@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

All,

I have reviewed all the appropriate information and my position hasn't changed. I'm worried that EPA is going down the wrong path. Let me explain my thinking to you:

My problem is that the data as presented by EPA with regard to NATA are presented as "cancer risk":

Facility ID	FIPS	Tribal Code	Parameter	Pollutant	Risk Value (cancer risk reported in a million)	Facility Emissions (tpy)	Facility Name	State	County Name	Comment
8026611	22095		Cancer risk	Chloroprene	1816.044	130.0775	E I DuPont de Nemours & Co - Pontchartrain Site		LA	St. John the Baptist

(Taken from email from Madeleine Strum to Kelly Petersen, 6/24/15)

That would read to most people that chloroprene is a known, proven human carcinogen. But it hasn't been proven, or even generally accepted, and EPA's own toxicology data states such.

The IRIS database for chloroprene reads similarly to the IARC monograph:

"Under the Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005), there is evidence that chloroprene is 'likely to be carcinogenic to humans'"

Even the IRIS group will not explicitly state that chloroprene is a KNOWN human carcinogen. The entire series of documents discusses chloroprene's carcinogenicity in mice and rats only. While they can be used as models for human physiology, mice and rats are NOT human, and there are numerous examples of materials that are spectacularly toxic to non-human animals but have little or no effect on humans (chocolate springs to mind). Therefore, it is, in my opinion, an irresponsibly large leap to present the

chloroprene release data as definitely carcinogenic to humans by presenting it as “increased cancer risk”.

In addition, the epidemiological data does not comport with the model at all. The following table describes actual cancer rates for St. John Parish for the most recent 4-year period for which data is available:

Rank	County	Annual Incidence Rate(†) over rate period - cases per 100,000	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval	Average Annual Count over rate period	Rate Period	Recent Trend	Recent 5-Year Trend (‡) in Incidence Rates	Lower 95% Confidence Interval	Upper 95% Confidence Interval
53	St. John the Baptist Parish(7,9)	460.8	432.3	490.7	209	2008-2012	stable	-2.2	-9.4	5.6

(Data from <http://statecancerprofiles.cancer.gov/incidencerates/index.php?stateFIPS=22&cancer=001&race=00&sex=0&age=001&type=incd&sortVariableName=rate&sortOrder=default#results>)

Given the following:

1. 50+ year history making chloroprene in St. John Parish
2. 20-30 year latency period for most cancers

According to the risk factors EPA attributes to our chloroprene emissions, St. John Parish should have the highest cancer rate in the state. This should be especially true given that our history of emitting chloroprene is much longer than the typical latency for cancer. But in actuality, St. John is in the lowest quartile of measured cancer rates in the state (#53 out of 66 parishes) and the rate of cancer is decreasing according to the 5-year trend. Thus, the model has a serious flaw as it doesn't come close to reflecting real, published cancer rate data.

The above, taken together, indicate that EPA is planning to publish misleading data in an inflammatory way. Therefore, it would be irresponsible to publish it. I strongly urge EPA to reconsider its present course.

Patrick A. Walsh, CIH  
E.I. DuPont De Nemours and Company  
Safety, Health, Environmental, and PSM Manager  
DuPont Performance Polymers Pontchartrain Works  
LaPlace, LA 70068

(985) 536-5731 Work  
(251) 321-5989 Mobile  
[Patrick.A.Walsh@dupont.com](mailto:Patrick.A.Walsh@dupont.com)

Let's Solve.



-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Kelly Petersen [mailto:Kelly.Petersen@LA.GOV]

**Sent:** Tuesday, October 06, 2015 10:09 AM

**To:** Kelly Petersen; GREGO, DORIS B; ALLEN, JAMES B; SALDANA, CARLOS F; Palma, Ted; Morris, Mark; Casso, Ruben; 'Rimer, Kelly'; Strum, Madeleine; WALSH, PATRICK A.

**Subject:** Follow up on chloroprene modeling and additional questions

**When:** Tuesday, October 06, 2015 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-06:00) Central Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** `DEQ/Room 919 - OMF Conference

Please join a conference call at 11am central time on Tuesday, October 6<sup>th</sup>. The call in information is below.

Meeting Number: 4341356

To join the conference call:

(1) Dial 888-363-4735, or 215-446-3657 for international calls.

(2) Enter the Meeting Number, then #

Thanks, Kelly Petersen

This communication is for use by the intended recipient and contains information that may be Privileged, confidential or copyrighted under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby formally notified that any use, copying or distribution of this e-mail, in whole or in part, is strictly prohibited. Please notify the sender by return e-mail and delete this e-mail from your system. Unless explicitly and conspicuously designated as "E-Contract Intended", this e-mail does not constitute a contract offer, a contract amendment, or an acceptance of a contract offer. This e-mail does not constitute a consent to the use of sender's contact information for direct marketing purposes or for transfers of data to third parties.

Francais Deutsch Italiano Espanol Portugues Japanese Chinese Korean

[http://www.DuPont.com/corp/email\\_disclaimer.html](http://www.DuPont.com/corp/email_disclaimer.html)